

PEER REVIEW POLICY and PROCESS

Peer review is a crucial mechanism that ensures only legitimate and high-quality research articles are published, thereby encouraging ethical practices among researchers.

At our online journal, IJAMAY, every research article submitted undergoes a double-blind peer review process. In this system, both reviewers and authors remain unaware of each other's identities, which promotes impartial evaluation.

With reviewers hailing from diverse geographical locations and various disciplines, we are equipped to evaluate research across a multitude of fields and languages.

We adhere to established guidelines for publishing journals and have instructed our editors to rigorously implement the editorial standards set forth by the Committee on Publication Ethics (CoPE). It is the duty of our editors and reviewers to ensure that researchers follow all publication rules and guidelines. Comprehensive guidelines for editors and reviewers can be accessed at this link: [CoPE Guidelines](#).

For those interested in understanding the qualifications, processes, and incentives for becoming a reviewer with IJAMAY, as well as viewing our list of registered editors and reviewers, please follow the provided links.

Review and Publication Process

1. A corresponding editor, typically aligned with the discipline of the submitted work, will conduct an initial evaluation of the research article.
2. If the article does not meet the necessary quality standards, it may be rejected outright without proceeding to peer review. This initial assessment allows for quicker decisions, sparing authors from an extended wait.
3. Following this preliminary review, the article will be assigned to a suitable reviewer who possesses expertise in the specific subject area and language.
4. The appointed reviewer will assess the paper based on several criteria, scoring each on a scale of 1 to 10. The criteria include:
 - Relevance of the Title and Research Article
 - Scholarly Quality
 - Continuity of the Article
 - Application of Theory
 - Novelty and Originality
 - Technical Content and Accuracy
 - Clarity and Understanding of Illustrations
 - Critical Thinking
 - Quality of References
 - Clarity of Conclusions

5. If revisions are deemed necessary, the article will be returned to the authors with detailed suggestions. Authors are required to submit their revisions within one month; if they fail to do so, the manuscript will be treated as a new submission, necessitating a complete re-evaluation.
6. Research articles will be accepted for publication if they achieve a review score of 75% or higher.
7. Once the peer review is positively concluded, one of our editors may undertake final editing of the research article, if needed, before publication.